



THE AGA KHAN UNIVERSITY

eCommons@AKU

Medical College Documents

Medical College, Pakistan

July 2017

Cytogenetic abnormalities in myelodysplastic syndromes: An overview

Mohammad Faizan Zahid

Aga Khan University

Umair Arshad Malik

Aga Khan University

Momena Sohail

Aga Khan University

Irfan Nazir Hassan

Aga Khan University

Sara Ali

Aga Khan University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_mc



Part of the [Medical Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Zahid, M. F., Malik, U. A., Sohail, M., Hassan, I. N., Ali, S., Shaukat, M. H. (2017). Cytogenetic abnormalities in myelodysplastic syndromes: An overview. *International Journal of Hematology-Oncology and Stem Cell Research*, 11(3), 231-239.

Available at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_mc/53

Authors

Mohammad Faizan Zahid, Umair Arshad Malik, Momena Sohail, Irfan Nazir Hassan, Sara Ali, and
Muhammad Hamza Saad Shaukat

Cytogenetic Abnormalities in Myelodysplastic Syndromes: An Overview

Mohammad Faizan Zahid, Umair Arshad Malik, Momena Sohail, Irfan Nazir Hassan, Sara Ali, Muhammad Hamza Saad Shaukat

Medical Graduate, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan

Corresponding author: Mohammad Faizan Zahid, M.D., Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan

Phone: +92 323 440 7740

Email: faizanzahid91@hotmail.com

Received: 16, Mar, 2016

Accepted: 30, April, 2016

ABSTRACT

Karyotype is one of the main constituents of the International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS) and revised-IPSS that are the cornerstones for the prognostication of patients with myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). Del(5q), -7/del(7q), +8 and -Y are among the most extensively studied cytogenetic abnormalities in MDS. The same applies for normal karyotype. There are hundreds of other rare cytogenetic abnormalities that have been reported in MDS, included but not limited to -X, 3q abnormalities, +13/del(13q), i(17q), +21/-21. However, due to a very low number of patients, their impact on the prognosis of MDS is limited. Knowledge of the molecular consequences of different cytogenetic abnormalities allows us to modify treatment regimens based on drugs most active against the specific karyotype present, allowing for the opportunity to individualize MDS treatment and improve patient care and prognosis.

Keywords: Cytogenetics, Karyotype, Myelodysplastic syndromes, Myelodysplasia, Chromosomal abnormalities

INTRODUCTION

Myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) are a group of heterogeneous hematopoietic stem cell disorders characterized by ineffective hematopoiesis, bone marrow dysplasia and peripheral cytopenias with an increased susceptibility in transformation to acute myeloid leukemia (AML)^{1,2}. The rapidly evolving methods in molecular oncology and cellular biology have provided insight into the molecular pathogenesis of MDS, offering great advances in diagnosis, gauging patient prognosis and treatment response¹. With modern developments in diagnostic techniques, genetic abnormalities such as point mutations and copy-number abnormalities can be detected in a large number of MDS patients³. Metaphase cytogenetics are capable of

identifying chromosomal abnormalities in up to 50% of patients, though most abnormalities (up to 80%) are detected via single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) microarrays and/or array comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) analysis nowadays³⁻⁵. More than 50% cases of MDS exhibit somatic point mutations that disrupt vital cellular processes, including but not limited to DNA repair mechanisms, signaling cascades, mRNA splicing and epigenetic gene regulation^{2,6}. These data have expanded our understanding of MDS pathogenesis, unravelling biological pathways that can be targeted with novel agents and providing new developments in the treatment of MDS. Different combinations of chromosomal abnormalities and somatic point mutations contribute to the large clinico-pathologic spectrum of MDS⁷.

Disease karyotype contributes to the International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS) score used in the prognostication of MDS patients. Acquired cytogenetic abnormalities are found in 40-50% of cases with MDS and the clinical implications of each individual karyotype play a crucial role in disease course and management^{3,5}.

Identification of the specific genes affected by each cytogenetic abnormality has been challenging and the consequences of each abnormality are still being elucidated⁷. Some of the common, as well as rare cytogenetic abnormalities reported in MDS are discussed here.

DEL(5Q)

Deletions of the long arm of chromosome 5 (5q) are the most frequently found chromosomal abnormalities in MDS (up to 15% of diagnosed cases)^{3,8}. MDS with del(5q) exhibits a heterogeneous clinical picture, divided into two large classifications based of clinico-pathology features, responsiveness to therapy and patient prognosis⁹. One subtype arises after prior exposure to cytotoxic chemotherapy (mainly alkylating agents) and/or radiation exposure and often shows additional chromosomal abnormalities and TP53 mutations¹⁰⁻¹². This subtype shows increased likelihood of leukemic transformation and shorter overall survival (ranging between 6-17 months, depending on the number/severity of other abnormalities)¹³. Conversely, patients with isolated del(5q) have a relatively better prognosis and a reduced risk of progression to AML (5–16% vs. 30–45%)¹⁴. Patients with 5q– syndrome are included in this subtype. Patients with multiple cytogenetic abnormalities understandably follow an aggressive disease course with substantially lower complete response (CR) rates to lenalidomide in comparison to those patients with isolated del(5q) (approximately 3% vs. 67%)¹⁵. Importantly, specific deletions in 5q chromosome also dictate prognosis. For example, one study by Jerez et al.¹⁶ demonstrated that deletions involving the centromeric and extreme telomeric regions of the 5q chromosome and/or specific genes (such as MAML1 and NPM1) are more likely to have

additional chromosomal lesions and aggressive disease course.

More studies have identified additional genes affected by del(5q) and their contribution to the complex pathophysiology of MDS. Loss-of-function mutations in ribosomal protein S14 (RPS14 gene) and several other genes encoding ribosomal proteins are implicated in del(5q) MDS and responsible for the characteristic erythroid phenotype of 5q– syndrome¹⁷. The haplo-insufficiency of these ribosomal proteins results in impairment of pre-rRNA processing, ribosome synthesis and selective induction of the p53 pathway in erythroid progenitors, halting cell-cycle progression and arresting erythropoiesis¹⁸. Pre-clinical studies show that inactivation of p53 abrogates the cell-cycle arrest in the erythroid progenitors, confirming the role of aberrant p53 induction in ineffective erythropoiesis in 5q– syndrome¹⁹. Dysregulation of microRNA (miRNA) has also been identified. miRNA-145 and miRNA-146a in particular are localized to chromosome 5q and are not expressed in del(5q) MDS progenitors. A pre-clinical study by Starczynowski et al.²⁰ identified that depletion of miRNA-145 and miRNA-146a leads to upregulation of their targets, identified Toll–interleukin-1 receptor domain–containing adaptor protein (TIRAP) and tumor necrosis factor receptor–associated factor-6 (TRAF6), resulting in inappropriate activation of innate immune system pathways and signaling²⁰. These events lead to megakaryocytic dysplasia, thrombocytosis, and neutropenia²⁰. Another study discussed the role of loss of APC gene, also located on chromosome 5q, in the pathogenesis of MDS in 5q– syndrome²¹.

Lenalidomide is the FDA approved standard of care for low-risk MDS with del(5q)²², capable of inducing cytogenetic CR in 50-60% of patients with up to 70% achieving transfusion independence^{23,24}. However, in some patients, a fraction of the del(5q) MDS clone remains unaffected by lenalidomide and persists despite CR, foreshadowing eventual disease progression and relapse²⁵. In addition, the subgroup of patients harboring TP53 mutations with del(5q) show relative resistance to lenalidomide and are associated with short-lived treatment response^{11,12}. These observations highlight the importance of

consolidating induction therapy as early as possible after getting the patient in CR.

MONOSOMY 7, DEL(7Q)

Chromosome 7 anomalies (mainly monosomy 7 or deletion of 7q) are reported in approximately 10% cases of de novo MDS and up to 50% of therapy-related MDS^{3,26}. Chromosome 7 abnormalities correlated with worse prognosis and reduced overall survival in MDS and other myeloid malignancies such as AML⁵. Commonly deleted regions on 7q identified in MDS are located at positions 7q22, 7q32-33, and 7q35-36²⁷. A recent study by McNerney et al.²⁸ demonstrated that the CUX1 gene (encoding a homeodomain protein) is under-expressed in myeloid neoplasms with –7/del(7q). Another recent study analyzing driver mutations in MDS pathophysiology showed that 3.5% of patients harbored inactivating mutations of the CUX1 gene²⁹. CUX1 is thought to function as a tumor suppressor gene in myeloid progenitor cells by regulating the expression of proteins governing the cell-cycle^{28, 29}.

The MLL5 gene, encoding a histone methyltransferase, is another gene mapped to 7q22. In the murine model, homozygous mutations in MLL5 results in impaired neutrophil function and erythropoiesis and a decreased repopulating capacity of hematopoietic progenitors, even in the presence of self-renewal stimuli³⁰. Not only this, but cells with mutated MLL5 alleles showed marked sensitivity to demethylation-induced hematopoietic differentiation³⁰. These data indicate that MLL5 plays an important role in myeloid differentiation (via DNA methylation) and warrants its investigation as a predictor of response to hypomethylating agents such as azacitidine in patients with MDS. It is of note that although mutations in MLL5 have not been found in myeloid neoplasia, reduced expression of MLL5 does correlate with poor prognosis in AML². EZH2 is another chromatin remodeler located on 7q36 and is mutated in approximately 6% of MDS cases, correlating with poor prognosis³¹. However, deletions in 7q do not result in the loss of the EZH2 gene³². Chromosome 7q deletions are usually quite large and haplo-insufficiency of multiple genes located in the deleted regions contribute to MDS pathology⁷.

TRISOMY 8

Trisomy 8 is also a common cytogenetic abnormality. Isolated trisomy 8 occurs in approximately 5% of patients with MDS and correlates with an intermediate prognostic risk (median overall survival of 23 months)³³. One hallmark of +8 MDS is that the chromosomal aberration is thought to occur late during disease pathogenesis, as evidenced by its detection in myeloid progenitors and near absence in CD34+ stem cells³⁴. +8 MDS cells express high levels of anti-apoptotic proteins (such as survivin) and exhibit strong resistance to apoptotic stimuli (such as gamma ray irradiation or withdrawal of growth factors)³⁵. Knockdown of these anti-apoptotic proteins abolishes the survival advantage of the +8 MDS clone and represents a potential targeted therapy that can be used in this subgroup of patients³⁵. In addition, +8 MDS patients show remarkable response rates to immunosuppressive therapies (up to 67%), indicating an underlying autoimmune pathophysiology associated specifically with trisomy 8³⁶. The overexpression of anti-apoptotic proteins confers a survival advantage to cells harboring the +8 karyotype over normal hematopoietic progenitors, allowing the MDS clone to survive the autoimmune microenvironment while normal cells are destroyed as the MDS phenotype develops.

Sex-chromosome abnormalities (–Y, –X)

Acquired loss of a sex-chromosome (–Y, in males, –X in females) is an age-related phenomenon, but can also occur in association with hematological malignancies³⁷.

MDS patients with isolated loss of the Y chromosome are classified under the ‘very good’ prognosis group⁵. Ever since its first discovery in the 1960s, deletion of the Y chromosome and its relationship with myeloid disorders has been under scrutiny. Since loss of the Y chromosome has been attributed to the normal aging process³⁸ and the fact that MDS incidence increases with age, the association between –Y and MDS is unclear³⁹. Nonetheless, –Y is suggested to be a potential driver in myeloid disorders as evidenced by the pretreatment predominance of the 45, X, –Y

karyotype followed by reappearance of normal karyotype during remission from acute leukemia.⁴⁰ Isolated loss of the Y chromosome is a frequent cytogenetic finding in MDS³⁷. A study in 2008 revealed that 14 of 142 patients (9.9%) with loss of chromosome Y developed MDS and reported a 3.8-fold increase in the risk of developing MDS with –Y³⁹. Trisomy 15 may also occur concurrently with –Y; however, in the presence of trisomy 15, –Y appears to be benign³⁹. Further studies will help elucidate the implications of simultaneous +15 and –Y.

On the other hand, loss of the X chromosome in female patients is a relatively rarer defect (isolated –X: 0.2-0.3% patients; –X in combination with other chromosomal abnormalities: up to 1.5% patients) and correlates with an intermediate prognosis (approximately with a median overall survival of 16 months)^{5,41,42}. Turner's syndrome is defined by the constitutional loss of the X chromosome; however, patients with Turner's syndrome do not seem to have an increased risk of developing MDS and other hematologic malignancies than the general population⁴³. Although –X is an easily detectable finding on conventional cytogenetics, further analysis such as phytohaemagglutinin-stimulated lymphocytes are required to distinguish constitutional loss of the X chromosome from an acquired loss of the X chromosome restricted to hematopoietic progenitors.⁴⁴

3q abnormalities

3q abnormalities such as deletions, translocations and inversions are rare occurrences in MDS but are categorized as poor-risk features due to short overall survival (median 20 months)^{3,5}. Inv(3q) and t(3;3)(q21;q26) usually affect the MECOM gene at the 3q26 locus, leading to abnormal overexpression of the EVI1 (a zinc-finger nuclear protein) which results in uncontrolled proliferation and impaired differentiation of hematopoietic progenitors⁴⁵. Chromosomal instability and interference with the activity of transcription factors (such as PU.1, GATA1 and RUNX1) are apparent mechanisms by which EVI1 overexpression leads to the MDS phenotype⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸.

A recent multicenter study highlighted the potential of azacitidine as a specific therapy for MDS patients with 3q lesions. With an overall response rate of

50% (CR: 29%) and a median overall survival of 10.6 months, subgroup analysis also revealed that MDS patients with 3q21 translocations had substantially better response rates and overall survival⁴⁹. In the same study, patients with increased expression of EVI1 without chromosome 3q lesions showed comparable response to azacitidine⁴⁹. Specific therapy of MDS harboring 3q abnormalities can be elucidated with further studies.

Trisomy 13, Del(13q)

Trisomy 13 is also an uncommon anomaly in MDS, observed in about 0.2% of patients^{3,50}, but is a recurrent abnormality with increased occurrence in AML (1-2% of cases)³⁷. +13 usually presents with advanced MDS with excess of blasts and moderate to severe pancytopenia^{37, 50}, hence categorized as a poor-risk cytogenetic feature (approximate median overall survival of 9.5 months)⁵¹. +13 shows a propensity for older patients (usually >70 years of age) and male predominance^{37,50}. Since +13 is very rare in MDS, most information regarding its clinical implications and effects on prognosis is derived from its appearance in AML patients. Trisomy 13 has shown a strong correlation between abnormalities in the RUNX1 and FLT3 genes. Up to 87.5% of AML patients exhibiting the +13 karyotype show cooperating mutations in the RUNX1 gene, a transcription factor playing a vital role in differentiation of hematopoietic progenitors into mature blood cells⁵². Not only this, but +13 and cooperating RUNX1 mutations are strongly associated with abnormally high expression of FLT3 (up to 5-fold increased expression)⁵³. In AML with normal cytogenetics, FLT3 mutations are associated with aggressive disease and poor prognosis⁵⁴.

A report of two cases of AML harboring trisomy 13 showed that single-agent therapy with high-dose lenalidomide was able to induce significant response with durable cytogenetic and morphologic CR⁵⁵. Lenalidomide has already established a prominent role in the treatment of del(5q) MDS and may prove to be an attractive choice for +13 myeloid neoplasms (including MDS), which are usually resistant to standard chemotherapy and hypomethylating agents⁵⁵. Keeping in mind the overexpression of FLT3 in these patients, FLT3 inhibitors may also play a role as 'individualized'

therapy for +13 MDS⁵⁶, though this needs to be confirmed in clinical studies before its clinical application.

Del(13q) not only occurs in a variety of hematologic malignancies, mainly those of lymphoid cells (chronic lymphocytic leukemia, multiple myeloma) but also occurs in myeloid disorders³⁷. In contrast to trisomy 13, deletion of chromosome 3q occurs in about 2% of MDS cases⁵⁷. The RB1 gene, a tumor-suppressor gene involved in cell-cycle control and cellular differentiation, is located in the deleted regions of cells with del(13q)⁵⁸. There is a strong association between the occurrence of del(13q) and therapy-related MDS and therapy-related AML, foreshadowing poor outcomes in this patient subgroup^{57, 59}.

Trisomy 21, monosomy 21

Constitutional trisomy 21 is very well-known in the context of Down's syndrome and is associated with an increased risk of AML and acute lymphoblastic leukemia³⁷. Besides being a hereditary disease, +21 may also occur as a clonal cytogenetic abnormality in hematologic malignancies. Like +13 (discussed above), +21 occurs much more frequently in AML than in MDS⁶⁰. +21 occurs between 0.3-0.8% of MDS cases and is classified as one of the rare cytogenetic abnormalities in the disease^{5, 61}. MDS patients with trisomy 21 classically show low absolute neutrophil counts with mild anemia and thrombocytopenia⁵. There may also be an association with chronic myelomonocytic leukemia.⁶¹ The molecular events that arise as a result of +21 are yet to be defined and this represents an area of great interest for future research. Currently, patients with isolated +21 are classified in the intermediate-risk group,³⁷ though some studies⁶¹ have reported that it could be better fitted in the poor-risk group. Prospective studies with large patient numbers will help classify the risk magnitude and prognosis of these patients. Monosomy 21 is also a rare cytogenetic finding in MDS (isolated -21 in 0.3% cases and in combination with other abnormalities in 0.5% cases)^{3, 5}. Like trisomy 21, the impact of isolated monosomy 21 on the prognosis of patients is limited due to insufficient number of patients and studies and is currently categorized under the intermediate-risk group⁶². It should be noted that monosomy 21 on

karyotype may be present as a technical artifact, occurring randomly while cells are prepared for chromosomal band analysis. Thus, the finding of -21 on karyotype may not represent a true monosomy and should be confirmed with additional techniques such as fluorescence in situ hybridization to avoid false positives⁶³.

Isochromosome 17q

The i(17q) abnormality is classified under the intermediate-risk category, occurring in about 1% MDS cases as the sole abnormality^{64, 65}. MDS with i(17q) has specific phenotypic features such as profound anemia increased peripheral blood leukocytes showing neutrophils with pseudo-Pelger-Huët anomaly and hyperplastic bone marrow with micromegakaryocytic predominance^{64, 65}. The TP53 gene is located on 17p13.1 and while one of the alleles is lost with the i(17q) abnormality,⁶⁵ the absence of mutations in the remaining allele suggests that the loss of other genes on chromosome 17p may play a role in the unique pathogenesis of i(17q) hematologic malignancies⁶⁴. To further corroborate this hypothesis, Fioretos et al.⁶⁶ reported no associations between the i(17q) and TP53 mutations. Recently, myeloid disorders (including MDS) with i(17q) have been proposed to be a distinct clinical entity, one with aggressive disease biology, a higher likelihood of evolution to AML and hence poor outcomes⁶⁴.

Del(20q), del(12p), del(11q)

Patients with isolated del(12p) and del(20q) are grouped under 'good'-risk category based on the cytogenetic classification. The outlook of these patients is relatively favorable. Meanwhile, the median overall survival was 6-9 years in patients with isolated del(12p) and median survival in those with isolated del(20q) was 5-6 years^{3, 8, 67}. Patients with isolated del(11q) have the most favorable prognosis^{3, 5}. Although, del(20q) has been extensively studied in the context of MDS, none of the genes lost in the deleted regions of 20q have shown association with the development of MDS, indicating that an in-depth study of this cytogenetic abnormalities is warranted to ascertain its role in MDS pathogenesis^{3, 5}. Loss of the L3MBTL gene

(which encodes one of the polycomb-group proteins) in del(20q) is thought to lead to genomic instability, but the L3MBTL gene may not be mutated in MDS⁶⁸. The *ASXL1* gene regulates epigenetic markers and gene expression by interacting with polycomb-complex proteins, various transcription activators and repressors. It is located at the 20q11 locus, but falls outside the deleted regions of the chromosome in del(20q).^{2, 69} *ASXL1* is mutated in 10-20% of MDS cases, and corresponds with early evolution into AML and shorter overall survival.⁶⁹

Abnormalities in the *ETV6* and *CBL* genes, located on 12p13 and 11q23, respectively, are also reported in MDS. *ETV6* encodes one of the ETS transcription factors,⁷⁰ whereas the product of *CBL* acts as a negative regulator of activated receptor tyrosine kinases⁷¹.

CONCLUSION

The broad heterogeneity of MDS highlights a large variety of abnormalities that underlie disease pathogenesis. Technological advancements have enabled us to identify several new biological abnormalities in patients with MDS and have provided profound insights into MDS pathophysiology. In some cases, unique genetic and non-genetic aberrations are associated with specific cytogenetic abnormalities and are responsive to specific forms of therapy. Detailed characterization of cytogenetic findings and the genes affected by these anomalies will further improve our knowledge of the cellular events that lead to MDS. With this knowledge, treatment approaches can be individualized for each patient, in part based on the cytogenetic abnormalities harbored by the MDS clone. This will not only yield better response rates but will also reduce the toxicities associated with other therapies that would be otherwise 'suboptimal' for a specific cytogenetic feature, thereby improving patient quality of life and the overall prognosis of MDS patients.

The rarer cytogenetic abnormalities have an unclear impact on patient prognosis and are presently categorized as intermediate-risk abnormalities and most are not included in the current IPSS and the revised-IPSS used in the prognostication of patients. Emphasis should be placed on the collection of

additional cases of rare cytogenetic abnormalities to expand our knowledge of their impact and allow for large studies to take place.

REFERENCES

1. Brunning R, Orazi A, Germing U, et al. WHO Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2008. Myelodysplastic syndromes/neoplasms, overview; p. 88-93.
2. Lindsley RC, Ebert BL. Molecular pathophysiology of myelodysplastic syndromes. *Annu Rev Pathol.* 2013;8:21-47.
3. Haase D, Germing U, Schanz J, et al. New insights into the prognostic impact of the karyotype in MDS and correlation with subtypes: evidence from a core dataset of 2124 patients. *Blood.* 2007;110(13):4385-95.
4. Gondek LP, Tiu R, O'Keefe CL, et al. Chromosomal lesions and uniparental disomy detected by SNP arrays in MDS, MDS/MPD, and MDS-derived AML. *Blood.* 2008;111(3):1534-42.
5. Schanz J, Tüchler H, Solé F, et al. New comprehensive cytogenetic scoring system for primary myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) and oligoblastic acute myeloid leukemia after MDS derived from an international database merge. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012; 30(8):820-9.
6. Yoshida K, Sanada M, Shiraishi Y, et al. Frequent pathway mutations of splicing machinery in myelodysplasia. *Nature.* 2011;478(7367):64-9.
7. Pellagatti A, Boulton J. The molecular pathogenesis of the myelodysplastic syndromes. *Eur J Haematol.* 2015;95(1):3-15.
8. Climent M. Incidence, characterization and prognostic significance of chromosomal abnormalities in 640 patients with primary myelodysplastic syndromes. *Br J Haematol.* 2000;108(2):346-56.
9. Giagounidis AAN, Germing U, Strupp C. Prognosis of patients with del (5q) MDS and complex karyotype and the possible role of lenalidomide in this patient subgroup. *Ann Hematol.* 2005;84(9):569-71.
10. Christiansen DH, Andersen MK, Pedersen-Bjergaard J. Mutations with loss of heterozygosity of p53 are common in therapy-related myelodysplasia and acute myeloid leukemia after exposure to alkylating agents and significantly associated with deletion or loss of 5q, a complex karyotype, and a poor prognosis. *J Clin Oncol.* 2001;19(5):1405-13.
11. Jädersten M, Saft L, Pellagatti A, et al. Clonal heterogeneity in the 5q-syndrome: p53 expressing progenitors prevail during lenalidomide treatment and expand at disease progression. *Haematologica.* 2009;94(12):1762-6.

12. Möllgård L, Saft L, Treppendahl MB, et al. Clinical effect of increasing doses of lenalidomide in high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myeloid leukemia with chromosome 5 abnormalities. *Haematologica*. 2011;96(7):963-71.
13. Kantarjian H, O'Brien S, Ravandi F, et al. The heterogeneous prognosis of patients with myelodysplastic syndrome and chromosome 5 abnormalities. *Cancer*. 2009;115(22):5202-9.
14. Greenberg P, Cox C, LeBeau MM, et al. International scoring system for evaluating prognosis in myelodysplastic syndromes. *Blood*. 1997;89(6):2079-88.
15. Adès L, Boehrer S, Prebet T, et al. Efficacy and safety of lenalidomide in intermediate-2 or high-risk myelodysplastic syndromes with 5q deletion: results of a phase 2 study. *Blood*. 2009;113(17):3947-52.
16. Jerez A, Gondek LP, Jankowska AM, et al. Topography, clinical, and genomic correlates of 5q myeloid malignancies revisited. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30(12):1343-9.
17. Ebert BL, Pretz J, Bosco J, et al. Identification of RPS14 as a 5q-syndrome gene by RNA interference screen. *Nature*. 2008;451(7176):335-9.
18. Dutt S, Narla A, Lin K, et al. Haploinsufficiency for ribosomal protein genes causes selective activation of p53 in human erythroid progenitor cells. *Blood*. 2011;117(9):2567-76.
19. Barlow JL, Drynan LF, Hewett DR, et al. A p53-dependent mechanism underlies macrocytic anemia in a mouse model of human 5q-syndrome. *Nat Med*. 2010;16(1):59-66.
20. Starczynowski DT, Kuchenbauer F, Argiropoulos B, et al. Identification of miR-145 and miR-146a as mediators of the 5q-syndrome phenotype. *Nat Med*. 2010;16(1):49-58.
21. Lane SW, Sykes SM, Al-Shahrour F, et al. The Apc(min) mouse has altered hematopoietic stem cell function and provides a model for MPD/MDS. *Blood*. 2010;115(17):3489-97.
22. Giagounidis AAN, Kulasekararaj A, Germing U, et al. Long-term transfusion independence in del (5q) MDS patients who discontinue lenalidomide. *Leukemia*. 2012;26(4):855-8.
23. Fenaux P, Giagounidis A, Selleslag D, et al. A randomized phase 3 study of lenalidomide versus placebo in RBC transfusion-dependent patients with Low-/Intermediate-1-risk myelodysplastic syndromes with del5q. *Blood*. 2011;118(14):3765-76.
24. List A, Dewald G, Bennett J, et al. Lenalidomide in the myelodysplastic syndrome with chromosome 5q deletion. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;355(14):1456-65.
25. Tehranchi R, Woll PS, Anderson K, et al. Persistent malignant stem cells in del (5q) myelodysplasia in remission. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(11):1025-37.
26. Christiansen DH, Andersen MK, Pedersen-Bjergaard J. Mutations of AML1 are common in therapy-related myelodysplasia following therapy with alkylating agents and are significantly associated with deletion or loss of chromosome arm 7q and with subsequent leukemic transformation. *Blood*. 2004;104(5):1474-81.
27. Beau MM, Davis EM, Eisenbart JD, et al. Cytogenetic and molecular delineation of a region of chromosome 7 commonly deleted in malignant myeloid diseases. *Blood*. 1996;88(6):1930-5.
28. McNERNEY ME, Brown CD, Wang X, et al. CUX1 is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor gene on chromosome 7 frequently inactivated in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2013;121(6):975-83.
29. Papaemmanuil E, Gerstung M, Malcovati L, et al. Clinical and biological implications of driver mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes. *Blood*. 2013;122(22):3616-27.
30. Heuser M, Yap DB, Leung M, et al. Loss of MLL5 results in pleiotropic hematopoietic defects, reduced neutrophil immune function, and extreme sensitivity to DNA demethylation. *Blood*. 2009;113(7):1432-43.
31. Bejar R, Stevenson K, Abdel-Wahab O, et al. Clinical effect of point mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364(26):2496-506.
32. Ernst T, Chase AJ, Score J, et al. Inactivating mutations of the histone methyltransferase gene EZH2 in myeloid disorders. *Nat Genet*. 2010;42(8):722-6.
33. Schanz J, Steidl C, Fonatsch C, et al. Coalesced multicentric analysis of 2,351 patients with myelodysplastic syndromes indicates an underestimation of poor-risk cytogenetics of myelodysplastic syndromes in the international prognostic scoring system. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29(15):1963-70.
34. Nilsson L, Åstrand-Grundström I, Anderson K, et al. Involvement and functional impairment of the CD34+ CD38- Thy-1+ hematopoietic stem cell pool in myelodysplastic syndromes with trisomy 8. *Blood*. 2002;100(1):259-67.
35. Sloand EM, Pfannes L, Chen G, et al. CD34 cells from patients with trisomy 8 myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) express early apoptotic markers but avoid programmed cell death by up-regulation of antiapoptotic proteins. *Blood*. 2007;109(6):2399-405.
36. Sloand EM, Mainwaring L, Fuhrer M, et al. Preferential suppression of trisomy 8 compared with normal hematopoietic cell growth by autologous lymphocytes in patients with trisomy 8 myelodysplastic syndrome. *Blood*. 2005;106(3):841-51.
37. Bacher U, Schanz J, Bräulke F, et al. Rare Cytogenetic Abnormalities in Myelodysplastic Syndromes. *Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis*. 2015;7(1):e2015034.

38. United K. Loss of the Y chromosome from normal and neoplastic bone marrows. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 1992;5(1):83-8.
39. Wong AK, Fang B, Zhang L, et al. Loss of the Y chromosome: an age-related or clonal phenomenon in acute myelogenous leukemia/myelodysplastic syndrome? *Arch Pathol Lab Med*. 2008;132(8):1329-32.
40. Holmes RI, Keating MJ, Cork A, et al. Loss of the Y chromosome in acute myelogenous leukemia: a report of 13 patients. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet*. 1985;17(3):269-78.
41. Abruzeze E, Rao PN, Slatkoff M, et al. Monosomy X as a recurring sole cytogenetic abnormality associated with myelodysplastic diseases. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet*. 1997;93(2):140-6.
42. Byrd JC, Mrózek K, Dodge RK, et al. Pretreatment cytogenetic abnormalities are predictive of induction success, cumulative incidence of relapse, and overall survival in adult patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia: results from Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB 8461). *Blood*. 2002;100(13):4325-36.
43. Manola KN, Sambani C, Karakasis D, et al. Leukemias associated with Turner syndrome: report of three cases and review of the literature. *Leuk Res*. 2008;32(3):481-6.
44. Stone JF, Sandberg AA. Sex chromosome aneuploidy and aging. *Mutat Res*. 1995;338(1):107-13.
45. Soderholm J, Kobayashi H, Mathieu C, et al. The leukemia-associated gene MDS1/EVI1 is a new type of GATA-binding transactivator. *Leukemia*. 1997;11(3):352-8.
46. Laricchia-Robbio L, Fazzina R, Li D, et al. Point mutations in two EVI1 Zn fingers abolish EVI1-GATA1 interaction and allow erythroid differentiation of murine bone marrow cells. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2006;26(20):7658-66.
47. Laricchia-Robbio L, Premanand K, Rinaldi CR, et al. EVI1 Impairs myelopoiesis by deregulation of PU.1 function. *Cancer Res*. 2009;69(4):1633-42.
48. Senyuk V, Sinha KK, Li D, et al. Repression of RUNX1 activity by EVI1: a new role of EVI1 in leukemogenesis. *Cancer RES*. 2007;67(12):5658-66.
49. Wanquet A, Prebet T, Berthon Cl, et al. Azacitidine treatment for patients with myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myeloid leukemia with chromosome 3q abnormalities. *Am J Hematol*. 2015;90(10):859-63.
50. Mesa RA, Hanson CA, Ketterling RP, et al. Trisomy 13: prevalence and clinicopathologic correlates of another potentially lenalidomide-sensitive cytogenetic abnormality. *Blood*. 2009;113(5):1200-1.
51. Dohner H, Arthur DC, Ball ED, et al. Trisomy 13: a new recurring chromosome abnormality in acute leukemia. *Blood*. 1990;76(8):1614-21.
52. Silva FPG, Lind A, Brouwer-Mandema G, et al. Trisomy 13 correlates with RUNX1 mutation and increased FLT3 expression in AML-M0 patients. *Haematologica*. 2007;92(8):1123-6.
53. Dicker F, Haferlach C, Kern W, et al. Trisomy 13 is strongly associated with AML1/RUNX1 mutations and increased FLT3 expression in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2007;110(4):1308-16.
54. Shih LY, Huang CF, Wang PN, et al. Acquisition of FLT3 or N-ras mutations is frequently associated with progression of myelodysplastic syndrome to acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2004;18(3):466-75.
55. Fehniger TA, Byrd JC, Marcucci G, et al. Single-agent lenalidomide induces complete remission of acute myeloid leukemia in patients with isolated trisomy 13. *Blood*. 2009;113(5):1002-5.
56. Wander SA, Levis MJ, Fathi AT. The evolving role of FLT3 inhibitors in acute myeloid leukemia: quizartinib and beyond. *Ther Adv Hematol*. 2014;5(3):65-77.
57. Boyer J. del(13q) in myeloid malignancies. *Atlas Genet Cytogenet Oncol Haematol*; 2002. p. 29-31.
58. Morris CM, Cochrane JM, Benjes SM, et al. Molecular definition of interstitial deletions of chromosome 13 in leukemic cells. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 1991;3(6):455-60.
59. Heim S. Cytogenetic findings in primary and secondary MDS. *Leukemia Res*. 1992;16(1):43-6.
60. Grimwade D, Hills RK, Moorman AV, et al. Refinement of cytogenetic classification in acute myeloid leukemia: determination of prognostic significance of rare recurring chromosomal abnormalities among 5876 younger adult patients treated in the United Kingdom Medical Research Council trials. *Blood*. 2010;116(3):354-65.
61. Sole F, Luno E, Sanzo C, et al. Identification of novel cytogenetic markers with prognostic significance in a series of 968 patients with primary myelodysplastic syndromes. *Haematologica*. 2005;90(9):1168-78.
62. Greenberg PL, Tuechler H, Schanz J, et al. Revised international prognostic scoring system for myelodysplastic syndromes. *Blood*. 2012;120(12):2454-65.
63. Van Dyke DL. -21 or monosomy 21 (solely). *Atlas Genet Cytogenet Oncol Haematol* 2003. p. 27-28.
64. Kanagal-Shamanna R, Bueso-Ramos CE, Barkoh B, et al. Myeloid neoplasms with isolated isochromosome 17q represent a clinicopathologic entity associated with myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative features, a high risk of leukemic transformation, and wild-type TP53. *Cancer*. 2012;118(11):2879-88.
65. McClure RF, Dewald GW, Hoyer JD, et al. Isolated isochromosome 17q: a distinct type of mixed myeloproliferative disorder/myelodysplastic syndrome with an aggressive clinical course. *Br J Haematol*. 1999;106(2):445-54.

66. Fioretos T, Strömbeck B, Sandberg T, et al. Isochromosome 17q in blast crisis of chronic myeloid leukemia and in other hematologic malignancies is the result of clustered breakpoints in 17p11 and is not associated with coding TP53 mutations. *Blood*. 1999;94(1):225-32.
67. Wattel E, Lai JL, Hebbard M, et al. De novo myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) with deletion of the long arm of chromosome 20: a subtype of MDS with distinct hematological and prognostic features? *Leukemia Res*. 1993;17(11):921-6.
68. MacGrogan D, Kalakonda N, Alvarez S, et al. Structural integrity and expression of the L3MBTL gene in normal and malignant hematopoietic cells. *Genes, Chromosomes Cancer*. 2004;41(3):203-13.
69. Thol F, Friesen I, Damm F, et al. Prognostic significance of ASXL1 mutations in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011; 29(18):2499-506.
70. Streubel B, Sauerland C, Heil G, et al. Correlation of cytogenetic, molecular cytogenetic, and clinical findings in 59 patients with ANLL or MDS and abnormalities of the short arm of chromosome 12. *Br J Haematol*. 1998;100(3):521-33.
71. Reindl C, Quentmeier H, Petropoulos K, et al. CBL exon 8/9 mutants activate the FLT3 pathway and cluster in core binding factor/11q deletion acute myeloid leukemia/myelodysplastic syndrome subtypes. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2009;15(7):2238-47.